CSCI 4974 / 6974
Hardware Reverse Engineering

Lecture 20: Automated RE / Machine Vision
Today's lecture

- Last lecture of the semester!
- Existing tools for reverse engineering of ICs
  - Sadly, not too many of these exist :(
- Introduction to machine vision
  - Writing your own tools is the way to go for now
Existing tools

- degate (automatic standard cell recognition)
- rompar (mask ROM extraction)
Degate

- http://www.degate.org/
- Semi-automated standard cell RE
Degate

- Recognizes copies of standard cells given a manually-identified master image.
  - Requires textbook standard cell design: one transistor layer, cells on M1, routing on M2+
  - Was not useful on the CPLD because there's a lot of custom logic with poly-level routing etc
- Can trace wires automatically from images
  - I have so far not been able to get this to work :(
  - Manual tracing isn't much better than Inkscape
Degate

- Can export Verilog or XML netlists for analysis
- Has stability issues
  - Last time I tried a year or so ago it was completely unusable
  - The latest Git build seems to segfault less
Rompar

- [https://github.com/ApertureLabsLtd/rompar](https://github.com/ApertureLabsLtd/rompar)
- Semi-automatic decoding of mask ROM
  - Seems to be designed for via type only
- User draws grid and selects threshold
- Tool IDs bright/dark spots
General workflow

- Semi-automatic analysis
  - Images are noisy, may have particles, etc
  - Fully automated analysis is hard to impossible
  - Computer is guided by, but not replacing, the human engineer
So what is machine vision?

- Treat an N-d image as a function of N inputs
- Function may be vector or scalar valued
- Try to find some structure in the function that maps to a useful structure in the real world
- Today's lecture will focus on 2D scalars
  - 2D grayscale images
  - But much of the material generalizes well
Two classes of vision operations

• Pixel filters
  - Create a new image from one or more inputs
  - Each pixel is a function of the corresponding pixel in the input(s) and possibly its neighbors
  - Say hello to our friend multivariable calculus ;)

• Higher level analysis
  - Make lists of interesting features in filtered images (lines, corners, dots, etc)
  - Further processing on high-level datasets
Our example image

- Xilinx XC3S50A (Spartan-3A, 90 nm)
- 200x optical image of bond pads and M8 power routing
Simple filter: RGB to grayscale

- $\text{gray}[x][y] = F(\text{red}[x][y], \text{green}[x][y], \text{blue}[x][y])$
- Typically weight green higher (ex: NTSC)
  - $Y = 0.299R + 0.587G + 0.114B$
Discrete convolution

- Very common operator for processing pixels in a neighborhood around a point
- Analogous to continuous-domain convolution
- Pairwise multiply filter kernel, centered at each pixel, with image, then sum results
1D derivatives

- The derivative of an image along one axis can be represented as $\partial I/\partial x$ or $\partial I/\partial y$.
- Highlights changing intensity
- In the discrete domain, the simplest case comes out to pairwise subtraction!
  - Convolve with [-1 0 1]
  - $dx[y][x] = img[y][x+1] - img[y][x-1]$
  - Naive [-1 1] will cause image shift
Representing derivatives

- Grayscale images are unsigned but gradients are signed (value can range from -255 to +255)
- Common mapping for display is \((X/2) + 128\)
  - Dark = negative
  - Medium gray = 0
  - Light = positive
More complex gradients

- Most common is the Sobel filter
- Allows a single 3x3 neighborhood to be used for both X and Y gradients
- Applies a small amount of perpendicular smoothing

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  -1 & 0 & 1 \\
  -2 & 0 & 2 \\
  -1 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  1 & 2 & 1 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 \\
  -1 & -2 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Sobel in X

[Images of a circuit board with labeled components]
Sobel in Y
Gradient magnitude

- Compute X/Y gradients using method of choice
- Treat gradient for each pixel as a 2-vector
- Compute magnitude as usual
- \[ \text{grad}[x][y] = \sqrt{dx[x][y]^2 + dy[x][y]^2} \]
Sobel gradient magnitude
Thresholding

- Turn a grayscale image into a Boolean image
  \[
  \text{thresh}[x][y] = \text{gray}[x][y] > T \ ? \ 1 : 0
  \]
- How to choose constant \( T \)?
- Use same \( T \) globally or vary across image?
Constant thresholding
Threshold too low
Threshold too high
RATS

• Robust Automated Threshold Selection
• One of many algorithms for choosing threshold
• Set threshold at the highest gradient in the image, weighted by the image area
• Heuristic: Sharply changing regions of the image are probably important edges we want to preserve

\[
T = \frac{\sum_{p \text{ in } D} G(p) \cdot I(p)}{\sum_{p \text{ in } D} G(p)}
\]
Thresholding failures

- What if brightness alone isn't enough to identify our target feature?
Cross-correlation filter

- Works well for detecting features of known size/shape
- Convolve image with a mask shaped like the feature (high values to match, low values to discard)
Via-finding filter

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
-2 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -2 \\
-2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -2 \\
0 & 3 & 6 & 3 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 3 & 0 & -2 \\
-2 & -2 & 0 & -2 & -2
\end{bmatrix}
\]
After thresholding
Blurs

- A blur is essentially a low-pass filter
  - Remove high-frequency components from the image
- Remove noise or focus on larger features
Median filter

- Nonlinear filter for noise removal
- Sample neighborhood around each pixel
- Sort pixels by value and pick the middle
- Small features like speckle noise are removed while larger features and edges are preserved
Median filter
Gaussian blur

- Convolve image with a Gaussian function
- Gaussian function is infinite, wider window for same $\sigma$ reduces artifacts. $3\sigma$ is common
- Changing $\sigma$ affects cutoff frequency
Gaussian blur
Bandpass filters

- Highlight features between two size ranges
- Used in scale-space systems to focus on one feature size at a time
Difference of Gaussians

- Bandpass filter made from two Gaussian filters
- Blur with a small $\sigma$, then a large $\sigma$, and subtract
  - Small features are removed by both filters
  - Large features are passed by both
  - Medium-sized features pass one but not the other and show up in the difference
Difference of Gaussians
Difference of Gaussians
Scale invariance

- Results returned by many of these algorithms are highly dependent on the size of the image!
- Common solution to this problem is to take a series of bandpass-filtered images (using DoG etc) and look for features in each one
Keypoint matching

- Common algorithms include SIFT and SURF
- Details vary but the basic flow is similar
  - Create scale-space sequence from image
  - Find interesting areas (keypoints)
  - Compute some function of the image about each keypoint (descriptors)
  - Search for similar descriptors to match similar objects, find the same feature in multiple images, etc
Open source libraries

- OpenCV (http://opencv.org/) - BSD
  - General purpose machine-vision library
- ITK (http://www.itk.org/) - Apache, was BSD
  - Focus on segmentation and registration but has lots of basic filters as well.
  - Originally developed for medical images
  - Developed by Kitware (located in Clifton Park, strong ties to RPI research groups)
Closing notes

- This is our last lecture of the semester! I hope you've all enjoyed the class as much as I have.
- Final project presentations are next Tuesday!
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